

## COMMENTS/QUESTIONS FOR FT. LEONARD WOOD – NITE RANGES M2048

1. section 110, pg 19, Paragraph on Factor 2 - The end of the paragraph needs the “\*\*\*” completed, which we assume will be by amendment. Can you tell us verbally what a similar type of project would be? (ie have similar elements, but may not be a range? Is this ok) [This is revised in Amendment # 2](#)
2. Section 110, Pg 19, paragraph d – Additional Information to be provided in Volume. Do you mean Volume 3 or should it be Volume 2? [Volume 2, Revised in Amendment # 3](#)
3. Can you provide us with an electronic copy of the “past performance evaluation questionnaire”, since the acrobat file is read only? Can you do this by amendment? [Will be provided upon request. Contact Gregg Gulledge, at e-mail address: Gregg.C.Gulledge@nwk02.usace.army.mil](#)
4. Section B-General Design Requirements – pg B1-5, para 1.3, 5<sup>th</sup> bullet (no pun intended) – we will need some idea of schedule and or blocked out times. Can you provide some general schedule information? [There will be no training activities on the ranges December 20, 2002- January 5, 2003. Coordination information has been added to Section 01100 para. 1.53 via Amendment 3.](#)
5. Section B-General Design Requirements – pg B3-2, para 3.3 – There is a reference to specs sections, but no specs. We understand we are to use the guide specs. However, let’s say you have show 2510 for Water lines, which specify a cast iron pipe or allow for PVC/plastic type pipe. It is our choice to decide which one to use?

**[It is the design / build contractor’s responsibility to write / edit the COE Guide Specifications. These specifications will be reviewed by USACE and Ft. Leonard Wood personnel during the design process. The General Design Requirements section of the RFP lists in many cases , the types of materials that can be used on this project. \(Paragraph 3.5.7.1.1 states that plastic pipe shall be used for waterlines, paragraph 7.4.7 says that Category 5E cable and jacks shall be provided for telecommunications, for example.\)](#)**

Let’s take this one step further, say there is a spec section 2511, which allows for a cheaper material than specified in 2510, can we bid on that item for the water line?

[It is the design / build contractor’s responsibility to write / edit the COE Guide Specifications. These specifications will be reviewed by USACE and Ft. Leonard Wood personnel during the design process.](#)

6. Section B-General Design Requirements – pg B3-4, para 3.4.5 – have you considered an option that would allow us to push the trees into the surrounding woods for habitate vs cutting the timber? Could be considerable cost savings!

Timber disposal criteria is per Ft Leonard Wood, Directorate of Public Works, Natural Resources Branch.

7. General – did not see an overall schedule proposed by the Corps. We need to generally know what are the constraints. (note: Section 800, pg 73 of 78 does not show much beyond the total duration of 365 days)

See Section 01100, para. 1.53, incorporated via Amendment 3.

8. Section 800, pg 73 of 78, para 52.2110-01, sub para Note: 3, refers to section 1720, but there is not a section 1720 in the documents.

It should refer to section 01780A. Revised in Amendment 3.

9. Section 800, pg 77 of 78, para SCR-DB-012 – is this the only design review/interaction you want? There is normally a complete design schedule with review times by Corps, design review meetings, use of Dr. Checks, etc. Do you plan on including the additional design documents?

Refer to Section 01130-Deliveries or Performance of Design Build Contract. Dr Checks has been added to this Section in Amendment 3.

10. Section 800, pg 78 of 78, para SCR-DB-017 – Cost Limitation – Since this is a design/build, do we design to the specs or design to the funds. For example if we think the way the specs read the project will cost \$9m, do we modify or suggest substitutions to get the price down to the budget amount? Design to the specs and the budget.

11. Based on the above questions and less than 2 weeks to bid, can the bid due date be extended 2 weeks? Extended to 8 Aug 02 in Amend 1.

Additionally, please confirm if the total of 10 and no more than 15 Performance Evaluation questionnaires required by Factor 1, is the total for each (Designer & Constructor), or if it is the total for both. [Riley, Michele A NWK] total for both

Page 17 of the Instruction to Bidders indicates 50 page limit for all volumes. Thus, the Bid Bond would take up at least 2 of the 50 pages. The proposal schedule and offer sheets would take up another 4 pages. Is this a correct understanding? [Riley, Michele A NWK] Yes, it is a correct understanding.

In Part 7.3 Technical Specifications, it states that Section 13850 - Fire Detection and Alarm System, Direct Current Loop shall be used.

I cannot find what are the requirements for any type of fire detection required in these facilities.

Please provide a) Fire deception and requirements per building,

b) Is a connection required to the Host Installation?

Where? What type of system?

THE DESIGNER SHALL SPECIFY THE FIRE ALARM SYSTEM REQUIRED BASED ON THE "DESIGN MANUAL FOR REMOTED TARGET SYSTEM (RETS) RANGES", THE "FORT LEONARD WOOD STANDARD DESIGN REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER STATED REQUIREMENTS. AT A MINIMUM THE AIR HANDLING UNIT FOR THE CLASSROOM SHOULD HAVE SMOKE DETECTOR SHUTDOWN.