

Dodson Floodwall Questions 9-3-04

1) Addendum 1 says to change Bid Item 12 to 200 MT, I did not notice a new bid schedule form, do we use the old bid schedule form?

R: The bid schedule has not been changed, so it can be used. The only change is a narrative change in quantity of the bid item 0012.

2) Where does the pipe cradle for 1800mm pipe at the gatewell (sta 1+677) begin and end? Sheet S5.18 has a note that the cradle sets under the first full length section, but the diagram (as well as notes on sheet S5.17) show that the cradle only goes under the half sections of pipe. Should the note on S5.18 say that the cradle only goes under the 2 half sections?

R: The note on Sheet S5.17 indicates “two one-half pipe lengths required immediately downstream of the pipe stub”, which means the same thing as the note on Sheet S5.18 which required pipe full length section.

3) Sheet S5.7 section 3&4 notes that the SWB conduit is encased by the contractor. Sheet S5.2 (profile view, sta 1+585) says the conduit is encased by the SWB contractor. Please confirm the conduit is encased by the SWB contractor.

R: The SWB conduit shall be encased by the contractor not by SBW.

4) Due to the extra cost of shoring (and likely damage to Manchester Ave's subgrade), is it necessary to remove the demolished pipe up to the edge of the highway?

R: The pipe has to be removed as close to the road as possible so any damages to the Manchester Ave. subgrade are avoided, but the pipe should be removed at least to the centerline of the drainage ditch.